

David J. Aveni (SBN 251197)
david.aveni@wilsonelser.com
John R. Danos (SBN 210964)
john.danos@wilsonelser.com
Colin V. Quinlan (SBN 332225)
colin.quinlan@wilsonelser.com
**WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP**
555 South Flower Street, Suite 2900
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 443-5100

Attorneys for Defendant Da Yang Seafood Inc.

Michael S. Myers (SBN 305011)
myersm@ballardspahr.com
Christopher M. Wyant (pro hac vice)
wyantc@ballardspahr.com
Jessica M. Rizzo (pro hac vice)
rizzoj@ballardspahr.com
BALLARD SPAHR LLP
2029 Century Park East, Suite 1400
Los Angeles, California 90067
Telephone: (424) 204-4400

Attorneys for Defendant Great Ocean Seafood Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

Brand LITTLE, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

PACIFIC SEAFOOD PROCUREMENT, LLC,
et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:23-cv-01098-AGT

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANTS DA YANG SEAFOOD
INC.'S AND GREAT OCEAN SEAFOOD
INC.'S MOTION TO STAY DISCOVERY**

Date: December 12, 2025
Time: 10:00 a.m.
Location: 450 Golden Gate Avenue
Courtroom A, 15th Floor
Judge: Hon. Alex G. Tse

[Filed concurrently with Notice of Motion
and Motion; Memorandum of Points and
Authorities]

1 Defendants Da Yang Seafood Inc. (“Da Yang”) and Great Ocean Seafood Inc. (“Great
2 Ocean”) moved this Court pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) for an order staying
3 discovery pending resolution of their motion to dismiss Plaintiffs’ Third Amended Complaint
4 (“TAC”).

5 Having considered the papers submitted in support of and in opposition to the Motion, and
6 the authorities and arguments presented by the parties through their counsel of record, this Court
7 finds that Da Yang and Great Ocean have shown good cause for a stay of discovery as to them.

8 This Court finds that Da Yang and Great Ocean’s pending motion to dismiss is potentially
9 dispositive of all claims against Da Yang and Great Ocean. This Court further finds that the
10 motion to dismiss can be decided without additional discovery. This Court further finds that a
11 discovery stay would further the goal of efficiency.

12 Da Yang and Great Ocean’s motion for a stay of discovery as to them is therefore
13 **GRANTED.**

14
15 **IT IS SO ORDERED**

16
17
18 Dated: _____

19 Hon. Alex G. Tse
United States Magistrate Judge